

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,050	04/06/2001	Petr Peterka	D02197	2207
43471	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION DBA THE CONNECTED HOME SOLUTIONS BUSINESS OF MOTOROLA, INC.			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
	101 TOURNAMENT DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HORSHAM,	PA 19044		2192	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/807,050	PETERKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuck O. Kendall	2192				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	ay 2006.	*				
,—	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,5,6,9,10,12,14,21 and 23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) Claims 2, 3, 7,11,13,15 - 20, 22 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9,10,12,14,21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/807,050 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

Detailed Action

1. This action is in response to the application filed 05/17/06.

2. Claims 2, 3, 7,11,13,15 – 20, 22 and 24 have been cancelled and claims 1, 5, 6, 9,10,12, 14, 21 and 23 have been amended and are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills USPN 6,055,560 (art of record) in view of Parthasarathy et al. USPN 6,347,398 B1 (hereinafter "Parathasarathy", art being made of record).

Regarding claim 1, Mills discloses, a method A television set-top terminal (FIG1, set top box. 108), comprising:

a computer readable, medium having computer program code (2:55 – 57); and means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein: (FIG. 2, API 152 and at 5:30 – 32), the means for

Art Unit: 2192

executing said computer program code being located in said set-top terminal (6:1 - 10, see call backs and local behavior on the set top box), wherein;

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (5:57-64, see panel stacking and back track (recover));

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (8:2-6, see install and download, also see 12:20 – 30 for update);

the API enables running and subsequent stopping of the applications (6:5-10, see stop button); and

the API enables the retrieval of the applications as broadcasts software applications (4:60 – 65, see queue and broadcast, also see 8:15 – 20 for retrieving);

the API enables pausing of the applications once they are running, and subsequent resuming of the applications (5:10 – 15, see suspension and resume).

Although, Mills doesn't explicitly disclose that the API enables a user to be notified of the presence of the application after registration and installation, he does disclose providing the current state of a set top box which is maintained by the application engine (5:20 - 30).

However, Parathasarathy in an analogous art and similar configuration discloses in table on col. 12 – 13, that the client registers it status during a download and install and also that the client receives notifications through the IbindStatusCallback interface it has registered (col.12-13).

Application/Control Number: 09/807,050

Art Unit: 2192

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine, Mills and Parathasarathy because it would enable maintaining of status information of installed or registered applications on the set top box.

Regarding claim 5, the terminal of claim 1, wherein:

said API is independent of an operating system and hardware of the terminal (Mills, 5:32 – 36, see " without knowing the database language or which type of database is being used...).

Regarding claim 21, the method version of claim 1, see rationale as previously discussed above.

5. Claims 6, 9, 10, 12,14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mills USPN 6,055,560 (art of record) in view of Parthasarathy et al. USPN 6.347,398 B1 and further in view of ITU-T/ ISO documents (hereinafter "ISO").

Regarding claim 6, Mills as modified by Parthasarathy discloses a television settop terminal (FIG.5, 108), comprising:

a computer readable medium having computer program code (2:55 – 57); and means for executing said computer program code to implement an Application Programming Interface (API) wherein:

application data which defines applications is recovered at the terminal according to locators associated with the applications (5:57-64, see panel stacking and back track (recover));

the applications are registered and installed at the terminal (8:2-6, see install and download); and

the API enables particular ones of the applications to advertise their respective states to other applications (5:20 – 25). Although, Mills and Parthasarathy doesn't explicitly disclose providing an ITU-T X.731 based mechanism for monitoring and controlling the applications, wherein said ITU-TX.731 is an international standard which defines management states, status codes and state transitions for manageable objects, he does disclose a telecommunication system utilizing X. 25 an earlier standard, see FIG. 5 and FIG. 6, 116, and associated text. However, ISO shows on page 4, that the X.731 is a Generic model for state management functions and has been around since (01/92).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Mills and Parthasarathy with ISO because, ITU-TX. 731 is a is a generic model for state management and would have enabled the system to be configured to more efficiently manage the application.

Regarding claim 9, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables at least one of the other applications to access the advertised state of at least one of the particular advertising applications (Mills, 5:20 – 25, see current state).

Regarding claim 10, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables retrieval of version information associated with the applications (Mills, 8:1 – 5, see matching and retrieving appropriate panels to download).

Regarding claim 12, the terminal of claim 6, wherein: API enables verification of the integrity of all of the applications (Mills,15:5 – 10, see "set top enabling code verifies").

Regarding claim 14, the terminal of claim 6, wherein:

said API enables administrative locking and unlocking of the applications (Mills, 8:62 – 67, see lock bit).

Regarding claim 23, the method version of claim 6, see rationale as previously discussed above.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/807,050

Art Unit: 2192

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14, 21 and 23 have 6. been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 7

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-2723698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-2723695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER